

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Challenges in the design and analysis of a factorial-design cluster randomised trial

Jacqueline Stephen^{1*}, Robert Lee³, Kalliopi Kydonaki², Jean Antonelli¹, Timothy S Walsh², Christopher J Weir^{3,4}

From 3rd International Clinical Trials Methodology Conference
Glasgow, UK. 16-17 November 2015

Introduction

Optimising sedation quality in mechanically ventilated intensive care patients is important because excessive sedation is associated with increased hospital acquired infections, longer intensive care (ICU) and hospital stay, and possibly higher mortality. The Development and Evaluation of Strategies to Improve Sedation Quality in Intensive Care (DESIST) study aims to optimise sedation practice. Here we focus on the study design, statistical analysis plan, performing the analysis and issues that occurred. DESIST randomised eight ICUs in pairs to four different combinations of sedation-related quality improvement interventions. The primary outcome assessed optimum sedation within each 12 hour nursing shift (referred to as a DESIST care period). This resulted in a three-level hierarchical data structure: DESIST care periods within admissions, within ICU.

Challenges

The detailed analysis plan specified a generalised linear mixed model for the analysis of the primary outcome. Due to the complex hierarchical data structure, we used MLwiN which is specifically designed for the analysis of multilevel models. We will illustrate the use of the STATA *runmlwin* command which allows MLwiN to be run from within STATA.

Modelling ICU as both a fixed and random effect resulted in difficulties in model convergence and estimating the ICU level variance. There was non-normality of residuals and high auto-correlation within MCMC chains.

Conclusion

The small number of ICUs and lack of evidence of ICU-level clustering caused difficulties in fitting the three-level

multilevel model. Fitting a simpler two-level model was feasible, gave similar intervention effect estimates and was justified by the lack of ICU-level clustering.

Authors' details

¹Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ²Anaesthetics, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ³Centre for Population Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ⁴Edinburgh Health Services Research Unit, Edinburgh, UK.

Published: 16 November 2015

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-16-S2-P149

Cite this article as: Stephen *et al.*: Challenges in the design and analysis of a factorial-design cluster randomised trial. *Trials* 2015 **16**(Suppl 2):P149.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



¹Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article